

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,382	10/710,382 07/06/2004		Anatoly Kogan	5111	
45184	7590	06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
ANTOLY I	KOGAN		GRAFFEO, MICHEL		
		D STREET, APT 1	05	12001010	0.000.000
LOS ANGELES, CA 90035				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/710,382	KOGAN, ANATOLY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michel Graffeo	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 January 2006.					
		This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 10/710,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Action

Claim 2 is examined.

Applicant has provided a correspondence, filed 26 January 2006, which seems to indicate submission and filing of a claim that is an amended version of the claim suggested by the Examiner in the 25 August 2005 Office Action. To that end the original claim, claim 1, is withdrawn and the new claim, claim 2 is examined and further, the rejections under 35 USC §101 and §112, have been withdrawn. Any rejection not specifically stated in this Office Action has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,291,500 to Ponikau in view of PDR, Medical Economics Company, In. (2001) pg. 3266 an FR 2622112 to Medipro.

Ponikau teaches methods and materials (see Title) for treating inflammation of mucosal tissue including fungal induced rhinosinusitits (see Abstract) comprising steroids such as hydrocortisone (see col 26 line 63) and antibacterial agents such as neomycin (see col 27 line 1).

Ponikau does not teach the inclusion of the antibacterial agents polymyxin B or Bacitracin specifically.

The PDR teaches an antibacterial ointment, Neosporin®, which comprises bacitracin zinc, neomycin and polymyxin B. Medipro teaches a nasal spray comprising polymyxin B and neomycin (see translation of Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the above references and as combined teach the claimed composition. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the references because all three teach an antibiotic agent. Ponikau specifically teaches a composition for use in the nasal cavity which includes hydrocortisone and provides a nonexclusive list of antibiotic agents for inclusion into such composition such that an "antibacterial agent can be any compound that is active against bacteria, such as,..." (see col 26 lines 66-end) thereby motivating one skilled in the art to optimize or vary the type of antibiotic used. The PDR teaches the claimed antibacterial agents in one composition and Medipro teaches that antibacterial compositions can be used in the nasal cavity. Thus, the claimed invention

Art Unit: 1614

was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time it was made and was as a whole, *prima facie* obvious.

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michel Graffeo whose telephone number is 571-272-8505. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5:30pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,382 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

21 June 2006 MG

> ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER